

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,646	01/06/2006	Francesco Pessolano	NL030809US1	1901	
65913 NXP, B, V,	7590 07/16/201	590 07/16/2010		EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING			PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW		
	M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, C			2183		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/563,646	PESSOLANO, FRANCESCO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JACOB PETRANEK	2183		

	JACOB PETRANEK	2183							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final replaced in the								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as						
	lianna with 37 CEB 41 37 must be 4	iladithin tua manth.	a of the date of						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>									
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the co		be entered and an ex	xplanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefere are the data of fire a No		the entropy						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:									
/Jacob Petranek/									
	Examiner, AU 2183								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments in claims 3-6 and 16 require further search and/or consideration. The IDS wasn't considered because only 1.17(p) fee was provided with the filling of the IDS. An IDS filed after a final action and before an issue fee payment requires both a 1.97(p) feet, satement and the 1.17(p) feet. See MPEP 609.01 [R-7].